

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,677	12/14/2005	Roger Cuppens	NL 030715	8560
65913 NXP. B.V.	7590 10/28/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELI	ECTUAL PROPERTY	YANG, HAN		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2824	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/560,677	CUPPENS, ROGER		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HAN YANG	2824		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED	FAILS TO PLAC	E THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed</li> </ol>	after a final rejecti	on, but prior to or	on the same day as filing	a Notice of Appeal.	To avoid abandonment of this
application, application	ant must timely file	one of the followin	g replies: (1) an amendr	ment, affidavit, or othe	er evidence, which places the

application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or

(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-numberous fame the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) a Not will not be entered on the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) are the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) are the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) are the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) are the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) are the p

7. 
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 
will not be entered, or b) 
will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-12.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-12</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

# AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
_	

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \( \sumething \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a>.

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_ 13. 

Other:

/Richard Elms/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2824

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argued that the bistable memory cell #30 of Keshtbod would presumably required a Src signal, the value of Vss dose not means a constant fixed voltage (although in majority of cases it stays at 0V, but the ground voltage could be varied sometimes), ground voltage are not necessary hold at 0 volt at all time, the Vss could change respectly. Also Hirose et al. column 32 lines 59-60 teaches the Src could be held at ground voltage like Vss in Keshtbod, therefore the examiner believe Hirose did not change the principle of operation of the memory cell of Keshtbod voltage.

/Richard Elms/ SPE AU 2824 10.21.08